



United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/087,496	05/29/1998	JAN E. FORSLOW	2372-5 9614		
759	90 12/19/2002				
NIXON AND VANDERHYE 1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, TOAN D		
ARLINGTON, VA 222014714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2665	1. /	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	The state of the s			
		09/087,496		JAN E. FORSLOW				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Toan D Nguyen		2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
TH - E at - If - If - A	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Attensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 feer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period validure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute may reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. & 133).	nunication.			
1)[2	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 0	October 2002						
2a)[- ` ` <u> </u>	is action is non-fir	nal					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispos	sition of Claims							
4)[)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-121</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[2	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-58,66-75,77,78,80-102,110-116 and 119-121</u> is/are rejected.							
7)[∑	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>59-65,76,79,103-109,117 and 118</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applic	ation Papers			•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	/ under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
i	a)							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
,	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachm		-	÷ *					
2) 🔲 No	rtice of References Cited (PTO-892) rtice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-19				
								

Application/Control Number: 09/087,496

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 49-58, 66-75, 77-78, 80-102, 110-116 and 119-121 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Widegren et al. (U.S. Patent 6,374,112 B1).

For claims 49, 51, 56-58, 66-68, 77, 80-82, 87-88, 97, 99, 110-111, 115 and 119, Widegren et al. disclose flexible radio access and resource allocation in a universal mobile telephone system comprising:

establishing a packet session over the radio interface for the mobile radio terminal using radio resources from the pool during which plural application flows are communicated with an external network entity, each application flow having a corresponding stream of packets (figure 5, col. 11 lines 52-61);

Application/Control Number: 09/087,496

Art Unit: 2665

defining a corresponding quality of service parameter for each of the plural application flows such that different quality of service parameters may be defined for different ones of the application flows (col. 11 line 54 to col. 12 line 11); and

determining whether radio sources from the pool are available to support the quality of service parameters defined for each of the plural application flows (col. 11 lines 65-67). In claims 55, 66 and 86, Widegren et al. disclose further making a reservation request from the mobile host to the gateway node for a particular quality of service for an individual application flow; determining whether the reservation request can be met (figure 5, col. 11 lines 54-65); and if so, establishing a logic bear between the mobile host and the gateway node that includes the serving node to bear plural ones of the individual application flows having different corresponding quality of service (figure 6, col. 12 lines 33-45). Widegren et al. disclose further in claims 77 and 115, the serving node merging packets from different sessions with the same quality of service (col. 11 lines 56-61).

For claims 50 and 98, Widegren et al. disclose delivering packets corresponding to each application flow from the external network entity to the mobile radio terminal in accordance with the defined corresponding quality of service (figure 4, col. 6 lines 3-8 and col. 9 lines 5-49).

For claims 52, 83 and 100, Widegren et al. disclose different qualities of service have different allocated bandwidths, delays, or reliability (col. 11 lines 14-30).

For claims 53, 84 and 101, Widegren et al. disclose the different quality of services include one class of service that is best effort where packets in an application flow may be dropped and another class of service that is predictive where packets in an application flow are not dropped (col. 10 lines 44-51).

Application/Control Number: 09/087,496

Art Unit: 2665

For claims 54, 85 and 102, Widegren et al. disclose a quality of service includes a delay class that specifies one or more of the following: a maximum packet transfer rate, a mean packet transfer rate, and a packet burst size of an application flow (col. 10 line 64 to col. 11 line 30).

For claims 68-69 and 119-120, Widegren et al. disclose the serving node determining if the reservation request for the particular quality of service is permitted by a subscription corresponding to the mobile radio host (figure 6, col. 12 lines 33-45).

For claims 70-73, 89-92, 112 and 121, Widegren et al. disclose the evaluating step includes the serving node estimating a delay and a bandwidth requirement corresponding to the request quality of service (figure 5, col. 11 line 52 to col. 12 line 11).

For claims 74-75, 93 and 113-114, Widegren et al. disclose the gateway node scheduling transfer of packets corresponding to one of the application flows to ensure that the reserved quality of service for that application flow is met (figure 9, col. 15 line 39 to col. 16 line 42).

For claims 78, 94 and 116, Widegren et al. disclose the merging is performed using first in first out scheduling except when packets cannot be delivered within a specified time (figure 7, col. 15 lines 6-20).

For claims 95-96, Widegren et al. disclose:

a reservation controller configured to reserve a different quality of service for different ones of plural data packet streams associated with corresponding applications operating at the mobile radio terminal and established during a data session when the mobile radio terminal is attached to the radio packet network, the reservation controller also being configured to request from the radio network, reservation of radio resources from the pool to support the different quality of services defined for the different data packet stream (figure 1, col. 7 lines18-40).

Art Unit: 2665

Objection To Claims, Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 59-65, 76, 79, 103-109 and 117-118 are objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claims, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the information of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's argument filed on October 04, 2002 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (7:00AM-4:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 703-308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

T.N.

Alfan 13. 230

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER